

SECTION 131 FORM

File With _____

Appeal NO: ABP 34485-22Defer Re O/H ☐Having considered the contents of the submission dated received 01/04/2024
fromRabbitte Group Properties Ltd. I recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000
be not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s): no new material issuesE.O.: Pat BDate: 17/04/2024

For further consideration by SEO/SAO

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage. ☐Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply. ☐

S.E.O.: _____

Date: _____

S.A.O.: _____

Date: _____

M _____

Please prepare BP _____ - Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached
submission

to: _____ Task No: _____

Allow 2/3/4 weeks – BP _____

EO: _____

Date: _____

AA: _____

Date: _____

File With _____

CORRESPONDENCE FORM

Appeal No: ABP 314485-22

M _____

Please treat correspondence received on 01/04/2024 as follows:

1. Update database with new agent for Applicant/Appellant _____

2. Acknowledge with BP 233. Keep copy of Board's Letter ☐

1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP _____

2. Keep Envelope: ☐3. Keep Copy of Board's letter ☐Amendments/Comments Rabbitte Group Properties Ltd response to S.13.112/03/24: 02/04/24 ✓

4. Attach to file

(a) R/S ☐(d) Screening ☐(b) GIS Processing ☐(e) Inspectorate ☐(c) Processing ☐RETURN TO EO ☐EO: Pat BPlans Date Stamped ☐Date Stamped Filled in ☐AA: Anthony McNallyDate: 17/04/2024Date: 25/04/2024

Fergal Ryan

From: Bord
Sent: 02 April 2024 09:46
To: Appeals2
Subject: FW: Case number ABP-314485-22 Relevant action application Dublin Airport
Attachments: Rgp.pdf

From: Marisa Rabbitte <rcsgroupproperties@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 1, 2024 4:40 PM
To: Bord <bord@pleanala.ie>
Subject: Case number ABP-314485-22 Relevant action application Dublin Airport

Caution: This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Dear Sir/Madam

Please find attached my observation/submission in relation to the above case.

Yours sincerely
Bettina Rabbitte

Sent with Genius Scan for Android
<https://dl.tglapp.com/genius-scan>

Rabbitte Group Properties Limited

Mabestown, The Ward, Co Dublin, D11 XNOK

Email: rcsgroupproperties@gmail.com

An Bord Pleanála
64 Marlborough Street
Dublin 1
D01 V902

01 April 2024

RE: Case number ABP-314485-22 Relevant Action Application Dublin Airport

Dear Sir/Madam

Further to your correspondence to us on the above case we wish to make the following observations / submissions:

1. We are disappointed to see that the noise contours have extended hugely over the community of Coolquay and the surrounding areas. We note there was no notice to this fact in any of the planning notices for this application to date. Many residents who thought they were not affected by these contours were only made aware of it following a public meeting held by SMTW residents' group. These residents were not given the opportunity to make a submission / observation previously as they thought they were unaffected. An Bord Pleanála did not give public notice of this significant additional information. This is not acceptable and unfair to the communities affected.
2. We note that the correspondence from Tom Phillips & Associates refers to ANCA Regulatory Decision regarding eligibility to the noise insulation scheme and suggest that the change in contours is as a result of their assessing that the increased area is a result of them considering this new area which contains dwellings to having "very significant" effects. We note the DAA have not carried out significant test criteria within any of the EIAR they have submitted and therefore they have not met with EIA directive. This is a fundamental failing in the assessment as the EIA directive is clear, all significant impact on environment must be identified, quantified, and mitigation proposed. The DAA has not complied with this legal requirement.

3. The DAA have not included assessment comparisons of "no flight" from the North Runway with the scenario that represents the "night flights from the same runway.
4. Tom Phillips refers continuously to the regulatory decision by ANCA in his correspondence. However, they fail to include in their report that the proposal does not meet the Noise Abatement Objective of ANCA in future years. The proposed 2025 scenario will fail the NAO when compared to 2019 when the total of the existing population, permitted developments and zoned developments are summed together. "2025 exceeds 2019 by 4,541 people (1533 v 6074).
5. SMTW residents group carried out their own noise monitoring on the North Runway flight path and found the noise levels exceeded those levels predicted by DAA. DAA noise predictions are not accurate and unfounded. They are trying to obtain permission by manipulating numbers. The DAA should submit actual noise levels along the North Runway which has been in operation since August 2022.
6. Noise zones on the Fingal community plan, these need to be revised due to the proposed flight path over the Coolquay and surrounding areas. Fingal County Council consider that there should be no residential development allowed in noise zone A as it is considered harmful to health and the environment. However, the flight path now being operated by DAA is putting existing residences in noise zone A and B causing health risks and air pollution. This should not be allowed to happen.
7. The noise insulation grant as proposed is not fit for purpose and is insufficient for night noise. It does not protect human health.
8. The actions of the DAA in submitting this planning application does not respect the planning process or the decisions of An Bord Pleanála. Their disregard for any consultation with the residents of the area is shocking. We ask An Bord Pleanála **to refuse this planning application** as it will have a detrimental impact on the affected communities and environment.

Yours sincerely


Bettina Rabbitte

Date: 01 April 2024